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As previously noted by Kenins (2016), Cosmarium Corda ex Ralfs is a large genus in which numerous instances of homonymy have occurred. Unfortunately, the name Cosmarium pseudolundellii in Kenins (October 2016) was earlier employed by Das \& Keshri (April 2016) for a new species of the genus from the Himalayas. Cosmarium pseudolundellii Kenins is thus illegitimate as it is a later homonym (Art. 53.1, Melbourne Code; McNeill et al. 2012).

A replacement name is thus necessary:

## Cosmarium sublundeliii Kenins nomen novum

Replaced synonym: Cosmarium porosum Coesel \& M.Dingley nom. illeg. in Systematics and Geography of Plants 75: 41, figs 12 \& 13. 2005, non Cosmarium porosum A.A.Gontcharov \& M.Wanatabe in Bulletin of the National Science Museum, Tokyo, Series B (Botany) 25: 12, pl. IV: figs 9, 10, 11; pl. VIII: fig. 12. 1999.
$\equiv$ Cosmarium pseudolundellii Kenins nom. illeg., Notulae algarum 13: 1. 2016, non Cosmarium pseudolundellii Das \& Keshri in Bibliotheca Phycologica 119: 119, pl. VI: fig. 175. 2016.

The newly proposed specific epithet refers to this species being somewhat like Cosmarium lundellii Delponte. Both Das \& Keshri (2016) and Kenins (2016) when utilising the epithet "pseudolundellii"" did so based on the morphological similarities shared with Cosmarium lundellii Delponte for the respective species. Despite this similarity the two species in question are not conspecific as Cosmarium pseudolundellii Das \& Keshri is considerably smaller in dimensions than both Cosmarium lundellii Delponte and Cosmarium sublundellii Kenins and Cosmarium sublundellii Kenins is further differentiated from Cosmarium pseudolundellii Das \& Keshri in that it is much more rounded and elliptical with respect to cell outline.

In proposing the combination "Cosmarium cohnii (Nobilis) Keshri et Das", Das \& Keshri (2016: 100) gave "Nobilis" as the basionym authority, a misunderstanding (and miscitation) of Turner's (1893: 41) use of "nobis" a term" used by many authors in the $19^{\text {th }}$ century to indicate the author's personal responsibility for it. Furthermore, their citation of the basionym as "Dysphinctium cohnii (Kirchner) W.B.Turner" is not a basionym, rather it is Calocylindrus cohnii Kirchner (in Kirchner 1878). Which was previously validly referred to the genus Cosmarium by Raciborski (1885: 69) as Cosmarium cohnii (Kirchner) Raciborski.
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[^0]:    *Nobis is the dative of nos referred by Stearn (1983: 66) as "... the 'dative of possession', apparently intended to emphasize the thing possessed and not the possessor, as when the older authors put mihi (for me, to me) or nobis (for us, to us) immediately after a new botanical name published by them."

